

United States Patent and Trademark Office

PPLICATION NO	HILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8406	
10 004,543	12 04 2001	James H. Jannard	OAKLY1.172C1		
20495	7590 12 31 2002				
	ARTENS OLSON & B	EXAMINER			
2040 MAIN S FOURTEENT	H FLOOR	DANG, HUNG XUAN			
IRVINE, CA	92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2873		
			DATE MAILED: 12-31-2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Jannard et al

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/004,543 Applicant(s)

Art Unit

2873

Hung X. Dang

	THE WAILING DATE OF this communication	appears	,,, ,,,,	e cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period :	for Reply			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY		TO E	XPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION signs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1		no ever	t, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX-6: MONTHS from the
mailing	g date of this communication.			
- If NO		will apply ar	nd will	expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
	e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu eply received by the Office later than three months after the maili			
earned	d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status	December 4	-4 15 20	າດາ	
1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on O			
2a) 🗶				non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under			t for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is uayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) X	Claim(s) <u>2-9</u>			is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.
6) X	Claim(s) 2-9			is/are rejected.
7)	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.
8)	Claims			are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ation Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on	is/are	a)	accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
				g(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)				is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner
	If approved, corrected drawings are required			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	ie Examir	ner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13).	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for fo	oreign pr	iority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	All b) Some* c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have	e bee	n received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have	e bee	n received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the Internation			ents have been received in this National Stage CT Rule 17.2(a)).
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the	cert	ified copies not received.
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for d	omestic	prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a)	The translation of the foreign language pr	ovisional	арр	lication has been received.
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for de	omestic	priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm	ent(s)			
1) No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No.s).
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	1	5;	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3: X Inf	formation Disclosure Statement's PTO-1449 Paper No.s	<i>+</i>	6	Other:

Art Unit: 2873

1. The amendment filed on 10/15/02 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The Information disclosure Statements filed on 10/15/02 has been considered.

Claims Rejection Under 35 USC - 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2 and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by **Rickards** (5,717,479).

Rickards discloses industrial safety assembly including disposable ear protection and earphone which comprises a telecommunications receiver carried inside of the eyeglass, telecommunications transmitter carried inside of the eyeglass, a rechargeable battery carried by the eyeglass, a pair of earphones and a microphone is connected to an orbital.

Art Unit: 2873

Claims Rejection Under 35 USC - 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Rickards** (5,717,479).

Rickards discloses industrial safety assembly including disposable ear protection and earphone which comprises a telecommunications receiver carried inside of the eyeglass, telecommunications transmitter carried inside of the eyeglass, a rechargeable battery carried by the eyeglass, a pair of earphones and a microphone is connected to an orbital.

Rickards does not disclose the storage device comprises an MP3 storage device.

However, the storage device comprises an MP3 storage device are well known in the art of the memory device for the purpose

Art Unit: 2873

storage the music. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to make the telecommunication spectacle, of Rickards, include MP3 storage device for the purpose storage the music.

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing

Art Unit: 2873

date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Hung dang at telephone number (703) 308-0550.

12/02

HUNG DANG

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 2873